



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/976,836 | 10/12/2001 | Charles Eric Hunter | **OO-0034 | 2310 |
| 23377 | 7590 | 03/07/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891 | | | FADOK, MARK A | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3625 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 03/07/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/976,836 | Applicant(s) HUNTER ET AL. |
| | Examiner MARK FADOK | Art Unit 3625 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK FADOK. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Greg Plitchta. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Plitchta first discussed the 101 rejection. The examiner explained that the lack of utility was based on government regulations that may change thus circumventing the repeatability requirement. Mr. Plitchta next stated that he did not see the feature ordering of a security clearance over the internet. The examiner stated that this feature is described in the combination that is made in the previous office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark Fadok/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.